

del States Patent and Trademark Office Sec COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/780,205	02/09/2001	Stanislaus Laurens Johan Wouters	4753US	7934
24247	7590 10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT			BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A	
P.O. BOX 255	0			
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/780,205	WOUTERS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Michail A Belyavskyi	1644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
	706.07(f).

Fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is caliculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally se (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked: Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e. The appropriate extension at in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set filed 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appearance.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE	E below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduissues for appeal; and/or	icing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejection.	cted claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. 7 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, ti canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	mely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues valued by the Examiner in the final rejection.	which were newly
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appear.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22,24 and 27-39</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23 and 25-26.	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	

Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/780,205

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Tithe proposed amendent to claims 1, 2, 6, 9, 10,11,13-22,24,27,30,33-37 and newly submitted claims 40-43 reciting "the selected monoclonal antibodies" reises new issue that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant arguments have been fully considered, but have not found convincing. Applicant arguments addressed amended claims which are not currently entered..

CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600